	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Notice of Allowability	10/081,700	TAY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	-
	Chester T. Barry	1724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.3	IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED (85) or other appropriate comm RIGHTS. This application is	n this application. If not included unication will be mailed in due co	ourse. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to 3/11/04.			
2. ⊠ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-15</u> .			
3. X The drawings filed on 22 February 2002 are accepted by	y the Examiner.		
4. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents had 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents had 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority of International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATINGT NOTE HEREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 5. ☐ A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be substituted in INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which go the including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperior (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperior (b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examing Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFF each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the decent of the priority documents and the decent regarding REQUIREMENT.	ave been received. ave been received in Application documents have been received. E" of this communication to fill NMENT of this application. comitted. Note the attached Expires reason(s) why the oath of the submitted. erson's Patent Drawing Review. er's Amendment / Comment of the header according to 37 C posit of BIOLOGICAL MAT	on No ed in this national stage application e a reply complying with the requestable. AMINER'S AMENDMENT or NO or declaration is deficient. W (PTO-948) attached or in the Office action of the drawings in the front (not the bound of the complete the submitted). ERIAL must be submitted.	ortice OF
Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946) 3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI Paper No./Mail Date 4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposition of Biological Material	8) 6. ☐ Interview S Paper No B/08), 7. ☒ Examiner's	nformal Patent Application (PTO- Summary (PTO-413), ./Mail Date : s Amendment/Comment s Statement of Reasons for Allow Chester T. Barry 571-272-1152 direct Art Unit: 1724	·.

Application/Control Number: 10/081,700 Page 2

Art Unit: 1724

Examiner Comment:

Of the two 132 declarations to which applicants refer in their timely response, only the declaration by Joo-Hwa Tay was received, image scanned, and uploaded to the PTO's Image File Wrapper System by which the examiner considers IFW application papers. It's unclear to the examiner why the other declaration was not accessible. The point is moot, however, because the Tay declaration alone is sufficient to follow the lead of In re Katz and has successfully overcome the rejections of record. If applicants wish to resubmit the other 132 declaration, they may, but it is unnecessary from the examiner's point of view: Tay's declaration represents that the individual named as an author of non-patent literature was working under the direction and control of one or more of the

Claims 1 - 15 are allowed.

Chester T Barry

two named inventors.

571-272-1152

CHESTER T. BARRY PRIMARY EXAMINER

¹ If applicants elect to refile a true copy of the other declaration, the Office apologizes for the added expense and inconvenience incurred by them to the extent that mislocation of the declaration was due to Office action or inaction.